

# **Beware, Data Processing! Proposing Categories for Risk Communication Related to the Processing of Personal Data**

Lukas Seiling Weizenbaum-Institut, Berlin lukas.seiling@weizenbaum-institut.de

> 2023 USENIX Conference on Privacy Engineering Practice and Respect



# What follows are the results of a collaborative effort. I could not present this without you. Thank you!



#### Rita Gsenger









Marte Henningsen



Lena Mischau

#### weizenbaum **Informed consent** institut

information provided in privacy notices

\requires, that

privacy notices are designed so that data subjects engage with the contained information

# **assumption** of notice and choice framework: data subjects can make rational and informed decisions by estimating potential privacy costs based on the

data subjects have some understanding of risk formation in data processing

lukas.seiling@weizenbaum-institut.de



\\ 1

#### weizenbaum Informed consent is challenged by... institut

# design of privacy notices

- Iong<sup>1</sup>
- plain text presentation<sup>2</sup>
- language vague and misleading<sup>3</sup>
- comprehension issues<sup>4</sup>

structural factors

 intransparent mechanisms for control<sup>5</sup> nudging and dark patterns<sup>6</sup> lack of alternatives<sup>7</sup>

# individual factors

- bounded rationality, e.g. hyperbolic discounting<sup>8</sup>
- lack of mental models for risk assessement<sup>9</sup>



# weizenbaum Informed consent

\assumption of notice and choice framework: data subjects can make rational and informed decisions by estimating potential privacy costs based on the information provided in privacy notices

\requirements not met, because

design issue privacy notices are not read by data subjects<sup>10</sup>

knowledge issue most data subjects lack adequate mental models of risk formation in data processing



// 3

# **Recent approaches**



Sharing with third parties



Profiling



Marketing purposes

Data Protection Icon Set (DaPIS, 2019)<sup>11</sup>



Apple's Privacy Nutrition Labels (2020)<sup>12</sup>



## Privacy Rating (2021)<sup>13</sup>



# **Requirements for successful risk communication**

#### weizenbaum institut

## warning messages should include<sup>14</sup> Information about the hazard Instructions on how to avoid the hazard potential consequences if the hazard is not avoided

# \ we can address these aspects by figuring out how negative consequences manifest



#### weizenbaum the Contextual Model of Perceived Privacy Risk institut



(perceived) risks are negative consequences (C) resulting from specific events (E)

vevents and consequences happen within contexts but can also transgress them

combination of Perceived Risk Model<sup>15</sup> Contextual Integrity<sup>16</sup>









# face recognition error, report says

JON BRODKIN - 1/4/2023, 11:46 PM





Financial Losses Physical Harm Psychological Harm Stigmatization Publicity Damages Manipulation



TangibleConsequencesmaterial / immaterial



**Informational Power** 

Decontextualization

Emergence of Information

Discrimination

Identity Theft

Defectiveness of Information

Permanence of Information



# Latent Consequences

# TangibleConsequencesmaterial / immaterial



**Data Collection** 

Data Storage

**Data Combination** 

Inference

Data Disclosure

Processing for other purposes



# Causes / Data **Processing Operations**

# Latent Consequences

Tangible Consequences material / immaterial





# **Proposed Categories for Risk Communication**

	information about the hazard					
	Processing OperationsData Types	Profiling Automated Decision Making Scoring Behavioral Monitoring Systematic Monitoring 3rd Party Data Collection Data Combination Data Disclosure Large Scale Processing Storage Period Off-Device Storage		WQYS   CONS   Data   Subject   Rights		<b>S</b> (
		Genetic Data Health Data Biometric Data Location Data Unique Identifiers Financial Data	Pro Pur	cessing poses	Data Exce	

# potential

## consequences

Latent	Informational Power
Consequences	Decontextualization
	Emergence of Information
	Discrimination
	Manipulation
	Identity Theft
	Defectiveness of Informatio
	Permanence of Information
Tangible	Financial Losses
Consequences	Stigmatization
	Physical Harm
	Publicity Damages
	Manipulation
	Psychological Harm

## to mitigate equences

Right to Erasure Right to Rectification Right to Object Choices

Sale sive or Broad Purposes





# Key Takeaways

# 3

Risk communication can improve privacy notices by providing evaluations and justifications for them

Data processing operations are key causes of risk

Before tangible consequences are felt, latent consequences have already occured



# References

<sup>1</sup> A. M. McDonald and L. F. Cranor, "The Cost of Reading Privacy Policies," ISJLP, vol. 4, no. 3, 2008.

2019.

<sup>7</sup> N. Srnicek. Platform capitalism. John Wiley & Sons, 2017.

2017.

- <sup>2</sup> S. Passera, 'Beyond the Wall of Text: How Information Design Can Make Contracts User-Friendly', In Design, User Experience, and Usability: Users and Interactions, vol. 9187, A. Marcus, Ed. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2015.
- <sup>3</sup> I. Pollach, 'A Typology of Communicative Strategies in Online Privacy Policies: Ethics, Power and Informed Consent', J Bus Ethics, vol. 62, no. 3, 2005.
- <sup>4</sup> J. R. Reidenberg, N. C. Russell, V. Herta, W. Sierra-Pambley, T. Norton, Trustworthy Privacy Indicators: Grades, Labels, Certifications and Dashboards. Washington University Law Review, Vol. 96, No. 6,
- <sup>5</sup> K. J. Strandburg, Monitoring, Datafication, and Consent: Legal Approaches to Privacy in the Big Data Context. In Privacy, big data, and the public good: frameworks for engagement, J. I. Lane, V. Stodden, S. Bender, and H. Nissenbaum, Eds. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- <sup>6</sup> C. Utz, M. Degeling, S. Fahl, F. Schaub, and T. Holz, (Un)informed Consent: Studying GDPR Consent Notices in the Field. In Proceedings of the 2019 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security. 2019
- <sup>8</sup> A. E. Waldman, 'Cognitive biases, dark patterns, and the "privacy paradox"', Current Opinion in Psychology, vol. 31, 2020.
- <sup>9</sup> A. Aktypi, J. Nurse, M. Goldsmith. Unwinding Ariadne's identity thread: Privacy risks with fitness trackers and online social networks. In Proceedings of the 2017 on Multimedia Privacy and Security.



# References

<sup>10</sup> J. A. Obar, A. Oeldorf-Hirsch, The Biggest Lie on the Internet: Ignoring the Privacy Policies and Terms of Service Policies of Social Networking Services. Information, Communication & Society, 2018.

<sup>11</sup> A. Rossi, M. Palmirani. DaPIS: an Ontology-Based Data Protection Icon Set. In Knowledge of the Law in the Big Data Age. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications, G. Peruginelli & S. Faro, Eds.. Vol. 317. IOS Press. 2019.

<sup>12</sup> Apple. About privacy information on the App Store and the choices you have to control your data. https://support.apple.com/en-us/HT211970.2020.

<sup>13</sup> S. Barth, D. Ionita, M. D. De Jong, P. H. Hartel, M. Junger. Privacy rating: A user-centered approach for visualizing data handling practices of online services. IEEE transactions on professional communication, 64(4). 2021.

<sup>14</sup> M. S. Wogalter. Communication Human Information Processing (C-HIP) Model. In Handbook of Warnings. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 2006

<sup>15</sup> S. Glover and I. Benbasat, "A Comprehensive Model of Perceived Risk of E-Commerce Transactions," Int. J. Electron. Commer., vol. 15. 2010.

<sup>16</sup> H. Nissenbaum, Privacy in context: technology, policy, and the integrity of social life. Stanford Law Books. 2010.

<sup>17</sup> B. Allyn, 'The Computer Got It Wrong': How Facial Recognition Led To False Arrest Of Black Man, https://web.archive.org/web/20220823155355/https:/text.npr.org/882683463.2024.

