# High Accuracy and High Fidelity Extraction of Neural Networks

Matthew Jagielski, Nicholas Carlini, David Berthelot, Alex Kurakin, and Nicolas Papernot









DigitCo



## This Talk

- Taxonomy
  - Motivation
- Learning Extraction
  - Algorithms
  - Limitations
- Direct Recovery Extraction
  - Prior Work
  - Improvements

#### Why would someone do this?



Data and engineers are expensive (theft)...



#### Why would someone do this?



Data and engineers are expensive (theft)...





...and models are vulnerable to attack (reconnaissance)!

## Taxonomy

- Theft
  - Accuracy
- Reconnaissance
  - Fidelity
  - Functional Equivalence

- Adversaries also have specific access restrictions
  - Full model output vs class label
  - Rate limiting

## Algorithms for Extraction

- Consider a linear model: f(x) = w.x
- We could try learning:
  - Do machine learning on (xi, f(xi)) pairs
- But notice also:
  - $\circ \quad f([1, \, 0, \, ..., \, 0]) = w0$
  - $\circ \quad f([0, \, 1, \, ..., \, 0]) = w1$
- We can directly recover linear models!
- What about neural networks?

#### Learning-based Extraction - Active Learning (also here!)



Active Learning: progressively growing a labeled dataset

Chandrasekharan et al: https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.02054

#### Learning-based Extraction - Semi-Supervised Learning



Semi-Supervised Learning: label a small dataset, but also use the unlabeled dataset

#### Learning-based Extraction

- Semi-supervised learning
  - Scales to deep learning + complex datasets
  - Requires large unlabeled dataset
- Label efficient!

Dataset	Queries	Baseline Accuracy	SemiSup Accuracy
SVHN	250	79.25%	95.82%
CIFAR-10	250	53.35%	87.98%
ImageNet (top 5)	~140000	83.5%	86.17%

#### Limitations of Learning-based Extraction - Nondeterminism



• Linear model direct recovery isn't easily extended to neural networks

• We focus on 2-layer ReLU networks, following Milli et al. [1]



ReLU(x) = max(0, x)









[1] Milli et al: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.05185</u>





## Our Functionally Equivalent

• Make Milli et al. work in practice - improved search and precision

Parameters	25,000	50,000	100,000
Fidelity	100%	100%	99.98%
Queries	~150,000	~300,000	~600,000

Effectiveness of our Direct Recovery Attack

## Wrapping Up

- See the paper for more!
  - Hardness results
  - Nondeterminism
  - Adversarial example transferability
  - Our functionally equivalent attack
  - Hybrid attacks
- Future Work
  - More efficient, realistic, effective attacks!
  - Defenses for accuracy, fidelity, functionally equivalent?
- Thank you! Ask me questions!

## Credits

- Papers
  - Chandrasekharan et al.: https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.02054
  - Milli et al.: <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.05185</u>
- Images
  - Alice: Eysa Lee <u>https://ccs.neu.edu/~eysa/</u>
  - Neural Network Diagram: <u>http://alexlenail.me/NN-SVG/index.html</u>
  - Affiliations: <u>https://ai.googleblog.com/</u>, <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Northeastern\_University</u>, <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/University\_of\_Toronto</u>
  - Slide 6: <u>https://hackernoon.com/hn-images/1\*be2sR\_HIKjY36cWuWRcu-Q.jpeg</u>
  - Slide 6: <u>https://imgs.xkcd.com/comics/machine\_learning\_2x.png</u>
  - Slide 6: <u>https://www.labsix.org/media/2017/10/31/cat\_adversarial.png</u>