EVMPatch: Timely Patching of Ethereum Smart Contracts with EVM Bytecode Rewriting

<u>Michael Rodler</u>, Wenting Li, Ghassan Karame, Lucas Davi

University of Duisburg-Essen, NEC Labs Europe



Open-Minded



How to protect smart contracts after deployment?

Why don't you deploy a patch?

Ethereum Smart Contracts are **immutable**

Patching Smart Contracts

- A lot of prior work on vulnerability detection
 - Symbolic execution (e.g., Oyente, teEther, EthBMC, ...)
 - Static analysis (e.g., Securify, eThor, ...)
 - Dynamic analysis (e.g., Sereum, TXSpector, ...)
 - ...
- We regularly observe incidents on the blockchain.
- We need to enable smart contract developers to patch new issues!

Existing Patching Strategies

Migration to a New	Upgradable Contract
Contract	using a Proxy Contract
Deprecate old contract, deploy new contract, manually migrate state to new contract.	 Contract is split into two: proxy contract logic contract Requires manual conversion; must ensure storage layout compatibility





Are Upgradable Contracts Practical?

Study with 6 Developers (4 with "production-grade" smart contract experience)

Task	Median Minutes	Median Reported Confidence (1-7)
Manual Patching	47.5	6
Manual Upgradable Contract (Proxy Pattern)	62.5	2.5
Ν	None of the manually created upgradable contracts were fully functional!	

Upgrading smart contracts is cumbersome, time-consuming, and error-prone.

Introducing EVMPatch

- Fully automated patching framework
- Automates the delegatecall-proxy pattern
 - Automatic conversion to proxy pattern
 - Deployment of contract and upgrades
- Patching with bytecode rewriting
 - Template-based patching with custom DSL
 - Naturally preserves storage-layout
- Differential patch testing
 - Ensure equivalent behavior: original vs patched contract

EVMPatch Architecture



EVMPatch Integer Overflow Check



Evaluation Results: Attacks

Evaluation on 5 known exploited ERC-20 Token Contracts

Contract	CVE	# Transactions	# Attacks
BEC	2018-10299	424 229	1
SMT	2018-10376	56 555	1
UET	2018-10468	24 034	55
SCA	2018-10706	292	1
HXG	2018-11239	1497	9

Comparison with manual patches (SafeMath)

EVMPatch'ed contracts...

- Prevent same attacks as SafeMath
- Same behavior as original on non-attacks
- Comparable overhead to source-level patches

Evaluation Results: Practicality

- Additional Cost due to Gas Overhead
 - Per Transaction: < 0.01\$
 - Per Upgrade: < 0.20\$
- Developer Study

Task	Median Minutes	Median Reported Confidence (1-7)			
EVMPatch Patch+Deploy	1.5	-			
New EVMPatch Template	4.0	7			
About 5 minutes to patch and deploy a new type of vulnerability with EVMPatch!					

EVMPatch: Timely Patching of Ethereum Smart Contracts with EVM Bytecode Rewriting

Michael Rodler, Wenting Li, Ghassan Karame, Lucas Davi

- Practical Post-Deployment Protection
- Efficient EVM Bytecode Patching
- Timely Patching of Vulnerabilities
- Automated Upgradable Contracts

michael.rodler@uni-due.de
https://udue.de/evmpatch







Open-Minded