

Spider-Scents: Grey-box Database-aware Web Scanning for Stored XSS

Eric Olsson and Benjamin Eriksson, *Chalmers University of Technology;* Adam Doupé, *Arizona State University;* Andrei Sabelfeld, *Chalmers University of Technology*

https://www.usenix.org/conference/usenixsecurity24/presentation/olsson

This artifact appendix is included in the Artifact Appendices to the Proceedings of the 33rd USENIX Security Symposium and appends to the paper of the same name that appears in the Proceedings of the 33rd USENIX Security Symposium.

August 14-16, 2024 • Philadelphia, PA, USA

978-1-939133-44-1

Open access to the Artifact Appendices to the Proceedings of the 33rd USENIX Security Symposium is sponsored by USENIX.

THE REPORT OF A DEPENDENCE OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPANTA DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION O



USENIX Security '24 Artifact Appendix: Spider-Scents: Grey-box Database-aware Web Scanning for Stored XSS

Eric Olsson Chalmers University of Technology

> Adam Doupé Arizona State University

A Artifact Appendix

A.1 Abstract

This artifact includes source code of the prototype implementation of our database-aware grey-box scanner for stored XSS, as well as Docker Compose setups for a subset of the evaluated web applications.

Running the scanner against a web application will produce a mapping from database columns to unprotected outputs where XSS payloads are executed. These unprotected outputs are code smells that correspond to either dormant stored XSS or stored XSS vulnerabilities.

Manual analysis is required to determine the vulnerability and exploitability of unprotected outputs.

A.2 Description & Requirements

A.2.1 Security, privacy, and ethical concerns

There are no additional risks for reviewers, other than those already encountered when scanning a web application for vulnerabilities; i.e. running an insecure web application.

All vulnerabilities found, including those in the web applications included in this artifact, have been responsibly disclosed to the affected vendors.

A.2.2 How to access

Download the artifact from https://github.com/
Spider-Scents/dbfuzz/releases/tag/v0.3.

A.2.3 Hardware dependencies

None.

A.2.4 Software dependencies

The scanner is implemented as a Python script, which uses a mysql connection to the database, and Chrome and Chromedriver to interact with the web application. Benjamin Eriksson Chalmers University of Technology

Andrei Sabelfeld Chalmers University of Technology

Python dependencies are included in the Pipfile of the artifact.

Docker and Docker Compose are used to set up the web applications.

mysqldump and mysql commands are used to automatically backup and restore the web application database.

graphviz is used to produce graph visuals.

Chrome/Chromedriver, Docker/Docker Compose, mysqldump/mysql, and graphviz need to be manually installed, while the Pipfile can be used to install required Python dependencies.

Python dependencies include:

- mysql-connector-python to connect to the database.
- requests to get pages from the web application
- selenium to browse the web application.
- tqdm to show a progress-bar.
- graphviz to produce graph visuals.
- cssutils, beautifulsoup4, and defusedxml to parse CSS, HTML, and XML.

A.2.5 Benchmarks

None.

A.3 Set-up

A.3.1 Installation

Download the artifact from https://github.com/ Spider-Scents/dbfuzz/releases/tag/v0.3. Follow the instructions in the README; namely: Install Python 3.10. Install MariaDB or MySQL to provide the mysql and mysqldump commands. Install the Chrome browser and Chromedriver. Install Graphviz. Install Docker and Docker Compose. Install the Python environment with pipenv install.

A.3.2 Basic Test

Unzip the Doctor Appointment Management System web application at docker/doctor. Inside the extracted contents, correct the permissions of the served Apache directory dbfuzz with:

chmod 755 dbfuzz

Start the web application with:

docker compose up -- build

Restore the provided database file in docker/doctor through the PhpMyAdmin console at http://localhost: 8080/ with server:mariadb, username:root, and password:notSecureChangeMe

Copy the provided config_doctor.ini to the root of the repository.

Update the cookies in the config file to allow the initial URL crawler to access authenticated pages, if necessary. Update the location of mysql and mysqldump, if necessary.

Run the script once to get crawl for webpage URLs with:

pipenv run script --config config_doctor.ini --insert-empty --reset-fuzzing --resetscanning --sensitive-rows -primary-keys --traversal column

Though inapplicable to this application, the script stops at this point to prompt the user to manually remove any destructive URLs from the generated URL file urls doctor app_config.insert_empty=True.txt

Run the previous pipenv run script command as before to finish scanning the web application.

Mappings from database inputs to unprotected outputs in this web application should be generated in PDF and CSV form in the output folder.

A.4 Evaluation workflow

A.4.1 Major Claims

- (C1): Spider-Scents automatically finds unprotected outputs from the database of web applications. This claim is supported by experiment E1 described in Paper Section 5 whose results are included in Paper Table 1.
- (C2): Spider-Scents has high coverage of database fields that can possibly contain XSS payloads. This claim is supported by experiment E2 described in Paper Section 5.3 whose results are illustrated in Paper Figure 5.
- (C3): Unprotected outputs found by Spider-Scents are related to stored-XSS vulnerabilities and exploits. This claim is supported by experiment E3 described in Paper Section 5 whose results are illustrated in Paper Table 1 and Paper Table 2.

Table 1: Unprotected outputs in Doctor Apt.

Column

tblappointment.Email tblappointment.Name tbldoctor.Email tbldoctor.FullName tblspecialization.Specialization tblpage.Timing tblpage.PageDescription tblpage.Email

A.4.2 Experiments

(E1): Scan Doctor Apt.[10 human-minutes + 10 computeminutes]: Scan the Doctor Appointment Management System for unprotected outputs.

Preparation: Set up the Doctor Apt. web application. **Execution:** Run the scanner script with the evaluation parameters on the Doctor Apt. web application. **Results:** The script will have generated the mapping

of database inputs to unprotected outputs in the web application. These are in output/graph doctor.pdf and output/reflections doctor.csv

The eight unprotected outputs in Table 1 should be present in the results.

(E2): Doctor Apt. DB Coverage[5 human-minutes + 10 compute-minutes]: Scanning the Doctor Appointment Management System has high database coverage.
 Preparation: Set up the Doctor Apt. web application.

Skip if experiment E1 already has been run. **Execution:** Run the scanner script with the evaluation parameters on the Doctor Apt. web application. Skip if experiment E1 already has been run.

Results: The script will have generated a summary of database coverage in output/coverage doctor.csv This summary should reflect that all 16 columns that could possibly contain an XSS payload string are tested by the scanner.

Note that this summary shows information about all string-type columns in the database; some may be too short to hold *any* XSS payload.

(E3): Doctor Apt. Vulnerabilities[20 human-minutes + 10 compute-minutes]: Unprotected outputs in the Doctor Appointment Management System are related to stored XSS vulnerabilities.

Preparation: Set up the Doctor Apt. web application. Skip if experiment E1 already has been run.

Execution: Run the scanner script with the evaluation parameters on the Doctor Apt. web application. Skip if experiment E1 already has been run.

Results: The script will have generated the mapping of

Table 2: Vulnerabilities (V) and Exploits (E) in the DoctorAppointment Management System

Column	Input Protection	V	Е
tblappointment.Email	none	true	true
tblappointment.Name	none	true	true
tbldoctor.Email	none	true	false
tbldoctor.FullName	none	true	false
tblspecialization.Specialization	no input	false	false
tblpage.Timing	no input	false	false
tblpage.PageDescription	no input	false	false
tblpage.Email	no input	false	false

database inputs to unprotected outputs in output/graph doctor.pdf and output/reflections doctor.csv Manual analysis of code relating to the database fields from unprotected outputs will show that four of these are vulnerable, while the remaining four do not have any input.

Furthermore, two of these vulnerabilities are directly exploitable given the permission system (users can perform XSS on doctors), while the remaining two represent doctor self-XSS.

These findings correspond to Table 2.

A.5 Notes on Reusability

A.5.1 Other web applications

In addition to the detailed description of how to run our experiments on the Doctor Appointment Management System, we also provide setups and expected results for other web applications used in our evaluation in the artifact.

With these, an interested reviewer can run experiments E1 and E2 for these other web applications.

Table 3 lists all Docker packaged web applications in the artifact. Compute time will vary for running scans on these applications, refer to Table 4 and Paper Table 3 for guidance.

A.5.2 Docker performance

Packaging web applications as Docker containers prioritizes the ease-of-use and portability of this artifact, at the expense of its performance.

Web application performance has the largest impact on runtime for this scanner; we observe an overall 18% improvement in runtime by simply running a number of the evaluated applications natively, outside of Docker - see Table 4.

A.6 Version

Based on the LaTeX template for Artifact Evaluation V20231005. Submission, reviewing and badging methodol-

Table 3: Docker-packaged web applications used in the evaluation

Application	Date	Version	Location
CMSMS	2022	2.2.16	docker/cmsms
Doctor Apt.	2023	2023/1/11	docker/doctor
Hospital	2022	2022/11/8	docker/hospital
Hostel	2021	2021/9/30	docker/hostel
Joomla	2023	4.2.8	docker/joomla
MyBB	2023	1.8.33	docker/mybb
OpenCart	2023	4.0.1.1	docker/opencart
Piwigo	2023	13.6.0	docker/piwigo
User Login	2021	V3	docker/userlogin
WordPress	2023	6.1.1	docker/wordpress

Table 4: Runtime performance of Spider-Scents, Docker (D) vs. Native (N).

Web application	Scan time (D)	Scan time (N)	Improvement
Doctor Apt.	0:10	0:08	20%
Hospital	0:31	0:22	29%
Hostel	0:13	0:13	0%
MyBB	6:06	4:21	29%
OpenCart	4:29	1:39	63%
Piwigo	0:59	1:07	-14%
PrestaShop	38:39	32:29	16%
User Login	0:02	0:01	50%
WordPress	2:22	1:55	19%
Overall	51:31	42:15	18%

ogy followed for the evaluation of this artifact can be found at https://secartifacts.github.io/usenixsec2024/.