ClearStamp

A Human-Visible and Robust Model-Ownership Proof based on Transposed Model Training

Torsten Krauß, Jasper Stang, and Alexandra Dmitrienko

University of Würzburg, Germany

33rd USENIX Security Symposium

Image





ML Model



Image



ML Model



ML Models are Intellectual Property



Intellectual Property Violations

Trained ML Model



F - Theft

- Illicit Utilization











Intellectual Property Protection



ML Model Watermark Verification



Secret Lock

ML Model Watermark Verification



Rigid Threshold VS. Partially Removed Watermarks





100 % Watermark





85 % Watermark



49 % Watermark







ClearStamp - Principle



ClearStamp - Principle



Transposed Training for Watermarking



Transposed Training for Watermarking



Transposed Training for Watermarking



Transposed Training - Details

Model Component	Forward Model	Transposed Model	
Linear Layer	$y = x \cdot w^T + b$	$x = (y - b) \cdot w$	
Batch Normalization	$y = \frac{x - E(x)}{\sqrt{Var(x) + \varepsilon}} \cdot \gamma + \beta$	$x = \frac{(y-\beta)\cdot\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}}{\gamma}$ with $E(x) = 0$, $Var(x) = 1$	
Convolutions [1]	Replace with deconvolutions [2]		
Pooling Layer [3]	Replace with Interpolations [4, 5]		
Dropout Layers [6]	Keep same dropout		
Activation Functions	Use same activation, e.g., ReLU [7]		
Skip Connections	Fixate skip connections		

^[1] Y. Lecun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 1998.

^[2] Matthew D Zeiler, Dilip Krishnan, Graham W Taylor, and Rob Fergus. Deconvolutional networks. CVPR, 2010.

^[3] Afia Zafar, Muhammad Aamir, Nazri Mohd Nawi, Ali Arshad, Saman Riaz, Abdulrahman Alruban, Ashit Kumar Dutta, and Sultan Almotairi. A comparison of pooling methods for convolutional neural networks. AppliedSciences, 2022.

^[4] Olivier Rukundo and Hanqiang Cao. Nearest Neighbor Value Interpolation. IJACSA, 2012.

^[5] Olivier Rukundo and Bodhaswar T Maharaj. Optimization of Image Interpolation based on Nearest Neighbour Algorithm. VISAPP, 2014.

^[6] Nitish Srivastava, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2014.

^[7] Abien Fred Agarap. Deep Learning using Rectified Linear Units (ReLU). arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.08375, 2018.

Transposed Training - Details

Model Component	Forward Model	Transposed Model
Linear Layer	$y = x \cdot w^T + b$	$x = (y - b) \cdot w$
Batch Normalization	$y = \frac{x - E(x)}{\sqrt{Var(x) + \varepsilon}} \cdot \gamma + \beta$	V = 0, Var(x) = 1
Convolutions [1]	Replay	with deconvolutions [2]
Pooling Layer [3]	Replace	with Interpolation [4, 5]
Dropout Layers [6]	k	Ke prome dropo
Activation Functions	Use 🔊	Zacheren, ReLU [7]
Skip Connections	Fix	ate skip connections

^[1] Y. Lecun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner. Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE, 1998.

^[2] Matthew D Zeiler, Dilip Krishnan, Graham W Taylor, and Rob Fergus. Deconvolutional networks. CVPR, 2010.

^[3] Afia Zafar, Muhammad Aamir, Nazri Mohd Nawi, Ali Arshad, Saman Riaz, Abdulrahman Alruban, Ashit Kumar Dutta, and Sultan Almotairi. A comparison of pooling methods for convolutional neural networks. AppliedSciences, 2022.

^[4] Olivier Rukundo and Hanqiang Cao. Nearest Neighbor Value Interpolation. IJACSA, 2012.

^[5] Olivier Rukundo and Bodhaswar T Maharaj. Optimization of Image Interpolation based on Nearest Neighbour Algorithm. VISAPP, 2014.

^[6] Nitish Srivastava, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2014.

^[7] Abien Fred Agarap. Deep Learning using Rectified Linear Units (ReLU). arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.08375, 2018.



Secret Lock







Secret Lock



ClearStamp - Workflow

1 Watermark Hardening



2 Constraint Training



Legal / Illegal Model Distribution -

3 3rd Party Manipulation



Copyright Infringement?









	Fine-Tuning			Pruning			Fine-Pruning
	Same LR	¹ / ₁₀ LR	¹ / ₁₀ LR & unseen data	60 %	80 %	90 %	¹ / ₁₀ LR & 40 %
Performance	87.42 %	89.86 %	97.02 %	78.56 %	50.10 %	26.76 %	89.79 %
Extracted Watermark	ABCD	ABCD	A B C D	ABCD	A B G B	1 B B	6 B
	Solution of the second se						

Aco

0

0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 Pruning Level 0.0

	Erase Watermark	Performance	Capacity	Runtime
A B E F G H C D C H	IJ MN QRUV KLOPSTW)	83.89 % 70.38 % 56.39 % 46.52 %	<u>MiT License Text</u> 8,544 bits <u>Bit Error Rate</u> 5.92 %	<u>Hardening</u> 53.48 s (one time) <u>Training</u> 67.62 s → 94.39 s + 39.58 %
			Dot code	<u>Extraction</u> 0.02 s





Conclusion



Copyright Infringement of ML models



Watermarking of ML models

- Non-intuitive algorithms
- Non-human-interpretable
- Rigid threshold
- Partially removed watermarks





Transposed training to generate a human-visible watermark

Thank you!!11!!1

Any Questions?

Parameter Entanglement





Torsten Krauß, Jasper Stang, Alexandra Dmitrienko

University of Würzburg

